

## INDEX

### VOLUME 11

AAHE Assessment Conference, 1999, 5:3, 5:4, 5:11, 6:14  
AAHE Assessment Forum, 6:15  
Academic affairs assessment, 2:8-9  
Academic program review, 3:6  
Academic standards, 1:6  
Accreditation: outcomes assessment for, 2:10-11, 3:1-2, 3:3, 3:4-5, 3:6-7, 3:9, 3:10-11, 3:15, 4:3, 5:6; revision and development of standards for, 3:11, 6:14  
Act 359 (South Carolina), 6:4-5, 6:16  
Administrative personnel, 5:7  
Alumni surveys, 1:10-12, 4:12, 5:5  
American Assembly of Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), 2:10, 3:3, 3:4-5, 3:15  
ACT CAAP, 5:8, 5:9  
Annual assessment reports, 3:11, 4:12  
Armstrong, K. J., 1:10-12  
Art program assessment, 5:4-5  
Assessment, acceptance and rejection of, 2:3, 4:3, 4:14-15  
Assessment Center for Health Professional Education, 6:14  
Assessment coordinator, 1:13  
Assessment course, 5:5  
Assessment Essentials (Palomba and Banta), reviewed, 5:12  
Assessment Institute, 1998, 2:3, 2:15  
Assessment measures, 1:8-9, 1:12, 3:10, 4:8-9, 4:11, 6:12-13  
Assessment movement, 2:3, 4:3, 4:14-15  
Assessment planning, 2:10-11, 4:10-11, 4:12-14  
Assessment reporting, 3:5, 3:11, 4:12, 5:4  
Association for Institutional Research, 6:15  
Ball State University: College of Business, 3:3, 3:4-5, 3:15; University Core Curriculum, 6:1-2, 6:10-11  
Banta, T. W., 2:3, 2:15, 3:3, 3:11, 5:3, 5:11  
Basic Concepts in Business, 5:5  
Baum, P., 5:4-5, 5:7, 5:11  
Baylor College of Dentistry, 6:14  
Benchmarking, 5:3, 6:14  
Bennett, W., 3:4  
Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, 2:7  
Bowen, R. J., 2:1-2, 2:12-13  
Brent, D. R., 1:1-4  
Brown, D., 6:8-9  
Burke, B. A., 2:1-2, 2:12-13  
Burke, J. C., 4:3, 4:14-15, 6:4-5, 6:16  
Business education assessment, 2:10-11, 3:3, 3:4-5, 3:15, 5:5  
California Academic Press, 5:13  
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, 2:1-2, 2:12-13  
California State University, Northridge (CSUN), 5:4-5, 5:7  
Campus profiles, 2:8-9, 2:14, 4:12-14, 5:8-9  
Campus strategies, 3:8-9  
Carousel approach, 3:14  
Casalnuovo, J., 2:1-2, 2:12-13  
Center for Social Responsibility and Community, 3:14  
Chemistry curriculum redesign, 2:1-2, 2:12-13  
Classroom assessment, 2:3, 4:1-2, 4:6-7, 4:14, 5:3; of general education, 5:1-2, 5:14-15  
Coalition for Networked Information, 5:12  
Collaboration, 4:6-7, 5:3  
College Outcomes Evaluation Project, 6:8  
College Student Expectations Questionnaire (CSXQ), 2:16  
College Student Experiences Questionnaire (CSEQ), 4th ed., 1:8-9, 1:12, 2:13, 2:16  
College Student Report, 5:12  
Colorado State University, College of Business, 2:10-11  
Commission on Higher Education (CHE), 6:4-5, 6:16  
Commission on Institutions of Higher Education, 3:1-2, 3:10-11  
Commonwealth Higher Education Management Service, 6:3, 6:14  
Community college strategies, 3:12-13, 3:15, 6:8-9  
Community College Student Experiences Questionnaire (CCSEQ), 5:8, 5:9  
Comparison groups, 1:8, 2:13  
Computerized Interactive Information Retrieval System (CIIRS), 6:8-9  
Conner, J., 4:8-9, 4:11  
Content and construct validity, 3:12-13  
Continuous quality improvement (CQI), 4:1-2, 4:6-7  
Core scoring, 6:8-9  
Cost assessment, computerized, 6:9  
Course completion tracking, 3:10, 6:8-9  
Course-embedded assessment, 5:8-9  
Critical thinking assessment, 2:6-7, 3:13, 4:4-5, 4:16, 5:11, 5:13, 6:12-13  
Critical Thinking Community, 5:13  
Cultural change, 3:15, 6:6  
Curriculum Content and Evaluation, 3:4  
Curriculum redesign and development: alumni research for, 1:12; classroom-based assessment and, 5:14-15; student outcomes assessment and, 2:1-2, 2:11, 2:12-13, 3:8-9, 3:13  
Customquiz, 5:10, 5:15  
Dearing Report, 1:5-7  
Decision-making skills, 3:13  
Degree integrity, 3:11  
Departmental assessment, 2:9, 2:14, 3:6-7, 3:8-9, 3:9, 4:12, 5:4-5, 5:7, 5:11  
Departmental isolation, 4:15  
Designs for Excellence: Handbook for Institutional Self-Study, 3:6  
Developing countries, 6:14  
Drake, L., 6:15  
Dreisbach, J. H., 3:3, 3:6-7, 3:9  
Eder, D., 6:15  
Educational Testing Service (ETS), 6:8-9  
El Paso Community College, 6:8-9  
Electronic portfolios, 2:3, 5:3, 5:11  
Employer surveys, 2:10-11, 3:10, 5:5, 5:7  
Entry-level mathematics (ELM) scores, 2:1-2, 2:12  
EQUIS, 6:14  
ERIC Clearinghouse, 5:13, 6:15  
Europe, EQUIS in, 6:14  
Excellence in Higher Education (EHE), 1:1-4  
Faculty evaluations, 4:6-7  
Faculty involvement, 1:13, 3:15, 4:2, 4:3, 4:6-7, 4:14-15, 5:6-7, 5:11  
Faculty surveys, 4:14  
Fielden, J., 6:3, 6:14  
Flateby, T. L., 2:6-7  
Focus groups, 2:4, 2:10-11, 3:7  
Focused program review, 3:6-7, 3:9  
Formative assessment, 3:10, 3:11  
Framing, 4:4-5, 4:16  
Freed, J., 4:1-2, 4:6-7  
Freshman-senior differences, 4:9  
Fullner, N., 5:4-5, 5:7, 5:11  
Funding, 2:5, 3:5, 3:15, 4:8, 4:12, 5:7, 6:4-5, 6:16  
General education assessment, 4:12-13, 5:1-2, 5:14-15, 6:1-2, 6:10-11  
Good practice, principles of, 1:14-15, 4:7  
Gordon, G., 6:3, 6:6-7, 6:13  
Governance, 1:7  
GPA, 5:5  
Graduate perception survey, 2:14  
Graduate profile, 5:6-7  
Gray, P. J., 2:8-9, 2:14, 3:3, 4:12-14  
Grid for Developing a Course or Program, 3:8-9  
Group Assessment of Logical Thinking (GALT), 2:2, 2:12  
Haessig, C. J., 3:14, 5:6-7, 5:11  
Hiemenz, P. C., 2:1-2, 2:12-13  
Higher Education in the Learning Society, 1:5-7  
Higher Education Program survey, 4:3, 4:14-15  
Higher Education Research Institute faculty surveys, 4:14  
Higher-order cognitive skills assessment, 2:6-7, 4:4-5, 4:16, 5:11, 5:13, 6:12-13  
Hill, I. B., 6:1-2, 6:10-11  
Hogan, T. P., 3:3, 3:6-7, 3:9  
*How College Affects Students*, 4:9  
Huba, M., 4:1  
Hubbard, D., 6:14  
Human Patient Simulator, 3:13  
Hypothesis, 4:8-9  
Imasuen, E., 3:8-9  
Indiana State University, 5:13  
Indiana University, 5:12, 6:3  
Information and computer technology, 1:8, 2:3, 4:6, 5:3, 5:10, 5:11, 6:8-9  
*Information Technology in Higher Education* (Katz and Rudy), reviewed, 5:12  
Innovation for organizational change, 6:10-11  
Institutional effectiveness/institutional research, 5:11, 6:6-7, 6:13  
Institutional learning, 6:1-2, 6:10-11  
Institutional planning: student satisfaction assessment for, 2:4-5, 2:15  
Institutionwide assessment programs, 2:8-9, 2:14, 3:3, 3:6-7, 3:9, 4:12-14, 5:4-5, 5:7  
International Conference on Assessing Quality in Higher Education, 11th, 6:3, 6:14  
Interviews, 4:8, 4:9, 4:11  
ISO 9000 standards, 6:14  
Item banking, 5:10  
James Irvine Foundation, 3:11  
Juillerat, S., 2:4-5, 2:15  
Katz, R. N., 5:12  
Klugman, M., 4:1  
Kretovics, M. A., 2:10-11  
Kuh, G. D., 2:13, 2:15, 2:16  
La Potin, A. S., 3:14, 5:6-7, 5:11  
LEAR model, 4:7  
Learning about assessment, 1:3, 2:3, 2:15, 3:3, 3:4, 3:10-11, 5:7

Learning communities, 2:6–7, 5:3

Learning objectives identification, 3:5, 3:9, 5:2

Learning organization, 6:1–2, 6:10–11

Learning society, 1:5–7

Lehr, J. K., 1:1–4

Lifelong learning skills assessment, 4:4–5, 4:16

Madison, R., 5:4–5, 5:7, 5:11

Major, assessment in, 5:4–5, 5:7, 5:11

Maki, P. L., 3:1–2, 3:3, 3:10–11

Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA) framework, 1:1–4, 6:14

Manes, D., 5:8–9, 5:11

Marchese, T., 4:3, 4:14

Martin University, 3:8–9

McCambridge, J., 2:10–11

McCann, A. L., 6:14

Metzger, E., 2:6–7

Middle States Association of Schools and Colleges, 3:3, 3:6–7, 3:9, 5:6

Milam, J., 6:15

Millner, C. N., Jr., 2:1–2, 2:12–13

Mission resource requirement, 6:5

Morey, A.-J., 5:1–2, 5:11, 5:14–15

National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS), 1:14–15

National Committee of the National Inquiry into Higher Education, 1:5–7

National Education Goals, 1:14

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), 1:1–2

National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), 5:12

New England Association of Schools and Colleges (NEASC) survey, 3:1–2, 3:3, 3:10–11

Nursing program, simulated performance assessment in, 3:12–13, 3:15

Objective Structured Clinical Examination, 3:12–13, 3:15

On-line assessment, 5:10, 6:8–9

Organizational learning, 6:1–2, 6:10–11

Organizational memory, 6:11

Outer, S., 1:5–7

Outcomes assessment: acceptance and nonacceptance of, 4:3, 4:14–15; accreditation-driven, 2:10–11, 3:1–2, 3:3, 3:4–5, 3:6–7, 3:9, 3:10–11, 3:15, 4:3, 5:6; alumni research for, 1:10–12; for curriculum redesign, 2:1–2, 2:11, 2:12–13, 3:8–9; graduate profiles for, 5:8–9; institutionwide programs of, 2:8–9, 2:14, 3:3; for organizational learning, 6:1–2, 6:10–11; program development for, 2:10–11; regional accrediting commission's survey on, 3:1–2, 3:10–11; of service learning, 3:14, 5:3; simulation, 3:12–13, 3:15; teaching and, 1:13; using, for curriculum redesign, 2:1–2, 2:12–13

Ownership, faculty, 5:6–7

Palomba, C. A., 3:3, 3:4–5, 3:15, 5:11

Palomba, N. A., 3:3, 3:4–5, 3:15

Peer review, 3:11

Performance assessment, 5:11; in health professions, 3:5, 3:12–13

Performance funding, 6:4–5, 6:16

Performance incentive pool, 6:5, 6:16

Pew Charitable Trusts, 1:14–15, 3:11, 5:11

Pike, G. R., 1:8–9, 1:12

Portfolios, course, 5:1–2, 5:14–15

Portfolios, institutional, 5:11

Portfolios, student, 2:3, 3:10, 4:11, 5:3, 5:14

Pratt Community College (PCC), 5:6–7

Primary trait analysis, 2:3

Problem-solving assessment, 4:4–5, 4:16, 5:11

Program advisory committee, 3:14

Program review, 3:5, 3:6–7, 3:8, 3:9, 4:12–13, 5:11, 6:1–2, 6:10–11

Public policy: international, 6:14; performance funding and, 6:4–5, 6:16

Quality assessment/assurance, international perspectives on, 6:3, 6:6–7, 6:13, 6:14

Quality assessment/assurance, international reports on: internal processes for, 6:6–7, 6:13

Quality Assurance Agency (QAA), 1:6, 6:3

Quality circles, 2:5, 2:15

Quality improvement, 1:1–4, 4:1–2, 4:6–7, 4:15, 6:4, 6:6–7, 6:13, 6:14

Quality indicators, 6:8–9

Quality-of-effort scales, 1:8–9, 1:12

Ramirez, P., 6:8–9

Rater and actor training, 3:13

Reasoning About Current Issues Test, 4:9

Reflective Judgment Interview, 4:8, 4:9

Regional development, 1:6–7

Reporting and report formats, 3:5, 3:11, 4:12, 5:4

Research hypothesis, 4:8–9

Research methodology, 4:8–9, 4:11

Research university assessment, 5:11

Residence life assessment, 4:10–11

Resource allocation, 2:5, 3:5, 3:15, 4:8, 4:12, 5:7, 6:4–5, 6:16

Rockefeller Institute survey, 4:3, 4:14–15

Rudner, L., 6:15

Rudy, J. A., 5:12

Rutgers University, 1:1–4

St. Onge, S., 4:10–11

Sample size, 4:8–9, 4:11

Sanger, J., 6:3

SAT scores, 2:13

Schechter, E., 6:15

Scheidt, D. M., 6:14

Schreiner, L. A., 2:4–5, 2:15

Scotland, 6:7, 6:13

Scott, A. G., 1:13

Selected issues assessment, 4:12–13

Selected Topics model, 3:6–7, 3:9

Self-assessment, 1:3, 4:4–5, 4:16, 5:11, 6:14

Senior/graduate surveys, 2:14, 4:12

Senior projects, 5:5

Service learning outcomes assessment, 3:14, 5:3

Shenton, G., 6:14

Shermis, M., 5:10, 5:11

Simulated performance assessment, 3:12–13, 3:15

Sinclair Community College, 3:12–13, 3:15

SOAP I, 2:1–2, 2:12–13

Software for surveys and tests, 4:6, 5:10, 5:11

South Africa, 6:14

South Carolina, performance funding in, 6:4–5, 6:16

Southern Illinois University (SIUC), Carbondale, 5:1–2, 5:14–15

Stakeholders, 2:10

Standardized tests, 3:10

State University of New York (SUNY), Brockport, 6:14

State University of New York (SUNY), Oneonta, 3:14

States: national survey of good practices in, 1:14–15

Statistical power, 4:9

Stimulus for organizational change, 6:10

Stirton, E. R., 3:3, 3:6–7, 3:9

Strategic planning, 2:8, 2:15, 4:10–11

Stroud, R., 6:8–9

Structured Simulated Clinical Examination (SSCE), 3:12–13, 3:15

Student affairs assessment, 4:10–11, 4:13–14

Student Affairs Research and Assessment, 6:15

Student Affairs Research Tools, 5:13

Student attitude and self-confidence survey, 2:12

Student expectations, 2:5, 2:16

Student experiences surveys, 1:8–9, 1:12, 1:14–15, 2:4–5, 2:9, 2:13, 2:14, 2:15, 2:16, 3:7, 3:10, 4:12, 4:13–14, 5:7, 5:9, 5:12

Student involvement, 4:6–7

Student Satisfaction Inventory (SSI), 2:4–5, 2:15

Student self-evaluations, 4:4–5, 4:16, 5:11, 6:14

Subject matter experts, 3:12–13

Summer grants, 3:5, 3:15

Sun, J. R., 1:13

Survey Pro, 4:6

Survey software, 4:6, 5:10, 5:11

Syllabus review, 3:8–9

Syracuse University, 4:10–11

*Tasks in Critical Thinking*, 6:8–9

Teaching improvement: classroom assessment and, 4:1–2, 4:6–7; continuous quality improvement and, 4:1–2, 4:6–7; linking student outcomes to, 1:13

Teams, faculty, 2:3, 4:6–7

Tennessee model of performance funding, 6:5

Terkla, D. G., 1:10–12

Test Locator, 5:13, 6:15

Total Quality Management (TQM), 6:4, 6:14

Town hall meetings, 5:5

Tufts University School of Veterinary Medicine (TUSVM), 1:10–12

Unit review, on-line, 6:8–9

United Kingdom, higher education and quality assurance in, 1:5–7, 6:3, 6:7, 6:13

University of Arizona, Arizona International Campus (AIC), 1:13

University of Scranton, 3:6–7, 3:9, 3:11, 4:12–14

University of South Florida (USF), 2:6–7

University of Strathclyde, Scotland, 6:3, 6:7, 6:13

Unstructured problems, 4:4–5, 4:16

Vision statement, 4:10

Walker, D. A., 4:6

Walton, E. D., 2:1–2, 2:12–13

Walvoord, B., 2:3

Western Association of Colleges and Schools (WASC), 3:3, 3:11

Wingspread Seven Principles of Good Practice, 1:14

Wojciechowski, W. A., 5:8–9, 5:11

Wolcott, S. K., 4:4–5, 4:16

Wolff, R., 3:3, 3:11

Wood, J., 4:8–9, 4:11

Workshops on assessment, 1:3, 2:3, 3:3, 3:10–11

World Wide Web: assessment resources on, 5:11, 5:13, 6:15; courses on, 5:3, 5:11; surveys and tests based on, 5:3, 5:10

Writing-across-the-curriculum, 2:6–7

Writing assessment, 2:6–7

Youngstown State University (YSU), 2:8–9, 2:14

